

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/834,273

Applicant(s)

JIANG ET AL.

Examiner

James Mitchell

Art Unit

2827

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James Mitchell.

(3) _____.

(2) David Schnaff.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The office action filed 11/19/2002 contained an error. Applicant will be sent corrected office action. Because applicant notified examiner of the error after 1 month of the filing date of the office action, but within its reply period, the application will be restarted from the mailing date of this action with a 1 month reply period pursuant M.P.E.P 710.06.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required